

The Grammar of the Body

Sign Language Research Lab, University of Haifa



1

Changes in the compositionality of indicating signals in the course of grammaticalisation

Svetlana Dachkovsky, Kate Mesh, Wendy Sandler & Rose Stamp

University of Haifa

Compositionality Workshop April 16th 2018



Introduction

eyeballs: gaze (pointing; questioning; referential shift) in

head: topic marking; question marking; prominence; continuation/dependency; referential shift; constituent boundary marking

upper face (brows, lids, cheeks): utterance type and information status (questions; old information; focus,etc.) constituent boundary marking (with blink); character perspective

lower face (tongue, lips, cheeks): adj., adv. modification; mouthing of spoken words

torso: referential shift; discourse contrast

hand(s): words (phonology; morphology); rhythm; prominence; boundary strength

nondominant hand: phonological element in words; independent classifier morpheme; discourse topic continuity



Road Map



- Background:
 - what are indicating expressions?
 - how do they develop grammatical functions in sign language?
- Research question: what happens to eye gaze when manual points develop grammatical functions?
- Our study: gaze & manual points in gesturers & signers

Indicating expressions

- indicate the location of an object or the direction of movement
- coordinate the attentional focus of the communicative partners



Functions of indicating expressions



(cf. Fillmore 1982, Levinson 2004, Diessel 2005)

Grammaticalization of indicating expressions



Well-documented grammaticalization chain for demonstrative expressions (Cf. Diessel 1999, 2005; Traugott, 1999)



Exophoric Endophoric Syntactic demo. demo. marker

Grammaticalization of indicating expressions



Theorized grammaticalization chain for pointing in sign languages (Cf. Pfau & Steinbach, 2006)



Exophoric Endophoric Syntactic pointing pointing marker

Grammaticalization of indicating expressions



Indicating expressions are joined by other signals

Spoken indicating expressions and manual points are co-organized with:



(cf. Cooperrider, 2014; Engberg-Pedersen, 2003; Kita 2003; Morgenstern, 2014; Pfau, 2011)

Indicating expressions are joined by other signals

manual points



Research Question

When manual points grammaticalize in a young sign language, what happens to the accompanying gaze signal?



Hypotheses

H1. As manual points grammaticalize in a young sign language, the alignment of gaze with manual points reduces



Hypotheses

H2. Gesturers, as representative of the beginning of the grammaticalization chain, exhibit more gaze alignment than signers





















Participants

- 14 speakers of Hebrew/Arabic/English
 - Ages 17-45
- 15 Israeli Sign Language (ISL) signers
 - From 3 age groups:
 - 5 younger (18-34)
 - 5 middle (35-54)
 - 5 older (55+)



Study: Task

• Participants retold the stories of Charlie Chaplin's *The Lion's Cage* and *The Kid*





Study: Coding

• 177 pointing gestures, 180 pointing signs, coded with:

- Function of point
 - Gaze (Aligned/ Non-Aligned)



Functions of points in the data



Functions of points in the data



Results: manual point and gaze alignment across groups



Older Signers



WHAT-TO-DO PUT-DOWN-CONTAINER **IX** RESCUE WATER POUR-WATER

Charlie thinks 'what to do, what to do'; he wants <u>her</u> [the girl] to rescue him so he pours water over her

Endophoric: Older Signers



eyegaze direction of point



Endophoric: Older Signers



eyegaze direction of point



Endophoric: Younger Signers



HORSE RUN FRIGHTEN **IX** RUN WHAT OPEN-AND-CLOSE-DOOR

The horse startles Charlie Chaplin; <u>**he</u>** runs and opens and slams the [cage] door</u>

Endophoric: Younger Signers

eyegaze



direction of point



Younger Signers

eyegaze



direction of point



Endophoric: Gesturers



[he hits the glass with a stone]; the window glass

eyegaze Endophoric: Gesturers



direction of point



eyegaze Endophoric: Gesturers



direction of point



H1. As manual points grammaticalize in a young sign language, the alignment of gaze with manual points reduces

Our findings support the hypothesis.

H2. Gesturers, as representative of the beginning of the grammaticalization chain, exhibit more gaze alignment than signers

This was not the case: gesturers pattern with younger signers in exhibiting nonalignment

Younger signers and gesturers are users of linguistically complex systems.

Gestures are integral part of language.



Levelt (1985); Gullberg (2006); Perniss and Ozyrek (2015)

What does the "stripping" of manual points from gaze afford to language users?

It frees the pointing sign to occur productively in a variety of linguistic composites What does the "stripping" of manual points from gaze afford to language users?





Role of pointing signs in relative clauses structural composite

(Dachkovsky 2018)

- Loss of pointing movement
- Loss of gaze alignment
- Phonologically reduced



Role of pointing signs in relative clauses structural composite (Dachkovsky 2018)



WOMAN DRAW IX

'The woman who is drawing is eating an apple'

Role of pointing signs in relative clauses structural composite (Dachkovsky 2018)



'The woman who is drawing is eating an apple'

Conclusions

- In a young sign language, indicating expressions are reduced in the course of grammaticalisation, so that gaze is dropped.
- Gesturers' pointing expressions are not accompanied by gaze.
- We see the reduction in mature linguistic systems, regardless of modality.
- Once reduced and simplified, pointing components can make distinct contributions to linguistic structural composites.

References

- Cooperrider, K. (2014). Body-directed gestures: Pointing to the self and beyond. Journal of Pragmatics, 71, 1-16.
- Diessel, H. (1999). Demonstratives: Form, function and grammaticalization (Vol. 42). John Benjamins Publishing.
- Diessel, H. (2005). Distance contrasts in demonstratives. World atlas of language structures, 170-173.
- Engberg-Pedersen, E. (1993). Space in Danish Sign Language: The semantics and morphosyntax of the use of space in a visual language. Hamburg: Signum.
- Fillmore, C. J. (1982). Towards a descriptive framework for spatial deixis. Speech, place and action: Studies in deixis and related topics, 31-59.
- Gullberg, M. (2006). Handling discourse: Gestures, reference tracking, and communication strategies in early L2. Language learning, 56(1), 155-196.
- Kita, S. (Ed.). (2003). Pointing: Where language, culture, and cognition meet. Psychology Press.

References

W. J. Levelt, G. Richardson, L. Heij, and W. (1985.) Pointing and voicing in deictic expressions, Journal of Memory and Language, 24(2),133-164.

Levinson, S. C. (2004). Deixis. In The handbook of pragmatics (pp. 97-121). Blackwell.

Morgenstern, A. (2014). Children's multimodal language development. Manual of language acquisition, 123-142.

Perniss, P., & Özyürek, A. (2015). Visible Cohesion: A Comparison of Reference Tracking in Sign, Speech, and Co-Speech Gesture. Topics in cognitive science, 7(1), 36-60.

Pfau, R. (2011). A point well taken. Deaf around the world: The impact of language, 144-163.

- Pfau, R., & Steinbach, M. Modality-Independent and Modality-Specific Aspects of Grammaticalization in Sign Languages, 2006.
- Traugott, E. C. (1999). A historical overview of complex predicate types. Collocational and idiomatic aspects of composite predicates in the history of English, 239-60.